

October 15th, 2013

7:00 PM Salisbury Town Hall

Board Members Present:

Cheryl Papandrea, Monique Greilich, Bob Gallagher

Staff:

Jack Morris, Jessica Mullen

MINUTES

Public Comments:

No public comments.

Minutes:

August 6 – Motion to approve the minutes dated August 6th, 2013 from Bob Gallagher. Second from Monique Greilich. Cheryl, Monique and Bob vote unanimously to approve the minutes. Vote: 3 in favor, 0 opposed.

October 1 – Changes to be made: under “Member’s Report” contact at DPH is reviewing all of the asbestos lined pipes in Salisbury, not exclusively the pipes along Ferry Road. Determining a geograph link with cancer rates in the Town.

Motion to table to the minutes dated October 1st, 2013 until the next meeting from Monique Greilich. Second from Bob Gallagher. Cheryl, Monique and Bob vote unanimously to table the minutes.

Vote: 3 in favor, 0 opposed.

New Business:

Chief of Police – community update canceled.

39 Seabrook Rd – (public hearing scheduled for 7:30 PM: moving on to other new business until then)

Setting up a subcommittee regarding trash issues – Identified problems at the workshop, next step is to remedy those problems by establishing a subcommittee. Some of the issues identified were: (1) the amount of haulers (2) the schedules and times (3) covers on barrels (4) lack of barrels at the beach etc. Tom Hughes will chair the subcommittee, Monique will also serve on the subcommittee. All agree, there is a lot of work to be done.

Motion made to set up a subcommittee to focus on trash issues in Salisbury from Monique Greilich. Second from Bob Gallagher. Cheryl, Monique and Bob vote unanimously to set up a subcommittee.

Vote: 3 in favor, 0 opposed.

Motion to appoint Tom Hughes as chair of the subcommittee from Bob Gallagher. Second from Monique Greilich. Cheryl, Monique and Bob vote unanimously to appoint Tom as chair of the subcommittee.

Vote: 3 in favor, 0 opposed.

The subcommittee will be looking at the following: how should trash be put out for pickup, schedules,

recycling, education, bin donations, bins at the beach, solar bins etc.

Budget – there is no emergency fund currently, the Board would like to see \$5,000 in an emergency fund that can be used to purchase things like extra flu shots. Additionally, in order to do the type of enforcement needed to do enforcement like the Board would like to see, more money would need to be allotted to the BOH’s budget. Example from the Health Officer: in order to really do enforcement on a Certificate of Habitability, the motel regulations and the trash regulations, there would need to be at least four full time inspectors. Each one would need an average salary of \$50,000 with an additional \$20,000 for health, as well as fringe benefits and compensation. What is really needed is a budget of \$300,000 – currently the budget is set at \$50,000. The Board must remember that they are competing with the schools, DPW, etc. This is a conversation to be had with the Town Manager.

Quarterly Report – there were more re-inspections done on restaurants open year round versus those only open during the summer. What happens when a violation is not fixed? It depends on the nature of the violation (24 hours vs. 60 days). If the law allows 60 days within opening to do something then that is the measuring stick used. If it is an imminent threat – infestation, fire, lack of water, lack of electricity, sewer backup, then it would be an emergency closure and the BOH would be notified ASA. However, it is not common. People appreciate the opportunity to come into compliance.

Nurses Report – Board would like data compiled into a yearly report to establish any trending that may be going on.

7:36 PM OPEN PUBLIC HEARING

39 Seabrook Rd, septic variance hearing – notice sent to abutters due to the retaining wall that will be built, retaining wall will be 1.0’ away from the direct abutter’s property line. Wall will be 2.5’ tall. Wayne O’Blenes – 40 Seabrook Rd – direct abutter. Has several questions for the engineer. Why is it a 3’ reduction versus a 4’ reduction? Quite simply, it is an economic issue; would require more fill and more money to go to 4’ as well as decrease the visual appeal of the site.

What size building is this septic system being designed for and can someone come and along and build a larger home that cannot be supported by the septic system? This is a replacement system, there is an existing three bedroom home – minimum required size of a system is a three bedroom. If someone wanted to come in and build a four bedroom, they would have to come in for a variance. Can determine if a larger system is needed based on water meter data. Current home has been condemned and is coming down.

What will the retaining wall be built out of? The property owner has not decided on that yet.

How will the wall impact the direct abutter? Should be no impact, the current system is a failed system, new system will not leak like current one. There should be no issue with runoff, the surface is pervious.

Can the system be placed elsewhere on the lot? Due to the wells and the high water table, there is very little flexibility in the location of the system.

No concerns from Rose.

Even though the home is condemned, it is still an existing home. Additionally, the well location trumps the septic system’s location.

Motion to approve the septic variance for 39 Seabrook Rd from Monique Greilich. Second from Bob Gallagher.

Vote: 3 in favor, 0 opposed.

Health Officer Report:

Covered earlier in the meeting.

Member's Report:

Cheryl – still waiting to meet with the Town Manager on Motel Regs.

Adjourn

**Motion to adjourn from Monique Greilich. Second from Bob Gallagher.
Vote: 3 in favor, 0 opposed.**

Meeting adjourned at 8:29 PM

Date: _____

Cheryl Papandrea

Robert Gallagher

Monique Greilich